2006 GUIDELINES FOR THE SIX COUNTY REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

1. The Six County CDBG allocation is distributed to the counties on the same basis as the State Department of Community and Culture distributes the state allocation to the regions.

The Six County AOG's applied for and approved amount for administration of the CDBG program is subtracted from the total Six County allocation. The remaining amount is allocated to each county with each county receiving a base amount of \$30,000. After the base amount is subtracted the remainder of the allocation is then divided by the total Six County population to determine a per capita amount. The allocation balance is then distributed to each county by population using the per capita amount as the basis of distribution.

- 2. If two or more projects are submitted from a county, city, or town, the projects must be prioritized by that entity for the RRC to determine allocation of project funding. Projects will be rated and ranked against other projects within their county only.
- 3. Any funds remaining in a county allocation, after that county's rating and ranking has been completed and allocations have been awarded, will be combined and allocated to the next highest ranking project regardless of location.
- 4. The proposed project must be on the entity's current Capital Improvement List, filed in the Six County Consolidated Plan. A project not listed in the Consolidated Plan will not be considered for funding unless it is determined to be an emergency project.
- 5. Applicants must provide documentation (written proof) of secured dollar contributions and other funding sources contacted. (#9 & 12)
- 6. CDBG funds should be directed toward projects which will result in the most funds being leveraged from other sources. (#12)
- 7. Priority is given to jurisdictions that are closest to the maximum tax rates allowed by law. The current tax rate will be compared to the applicant's highest possible tax rate to determine the percentage. (#8)
- 8. Multi-year projects will be considered and the amount of funding to be awarded out of each successive year's funding will be determined by the RRC, with a maximum of three years for any multi-year project.
- 9. Project maturity will be considered in determining the awarding of funds for the present year's funding cycle, i.e., project can be completed in allotted time frame, matching funds in place, detailed scope of work, engineer's cost estimates in place, etc.
- 10. Attendance at an application workshop is required. Entities who do not attend will not be eligible to apply. At least one, preferably two, representatives must be present at the workshop. The project

manager is required to be in attendance and the chief elected official or an elected official that will oversee the project should be the other representative. Attendees other that those indicated must be officially designated by the entity they are representing and provide evidence of that designation at the time of the workshop.

11. Pre-applications must be received by 5:00 PM on Friday, December 2, 2005. Any applications received after this date and time will not be considered for funding.

Six County Association of Governments

REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

Commissioner Neil Cook	Juab
Mayor Chad Brough	Juab
Commissioner John Cooper	Millard
Mayor Sam Starley	Millard
Commissioner Paul Morgan	Piute
Mayor Gary James	Piute
Commissioner Bruce Blackham	Sanpete
Mayor Chesley Christensen	Sanpete
Commissioner Doug Peterson	Sevier
Mayor Jake Albrecht	Sevier
Commissioner Allen Jones	Wayne
Mayor Stan Alvey	Wayne

SIX COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA FY 2006

APPLICANT	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED		
<u>Criteria</u>		Range	<u>Awarded</u>
1. National Objective (select one):		7-10	
a. Health & Safety: Serious health & safety threats as defined by HUD	(10)		
b. Prevention/elimination of slum/blight (Eligibility of this national objective must be approved by the State before Rating and Ranking occurs)	(07)		
c. Low/Mod Families: 51% of families in jurisdiction have income less than 80% of county or state median income. (If survey is necessary, it must be completed & attached to pre-application for national objective compliance)	(10)		
2. Ability of applicant to complete application and administer the project. Information on prior performance furnished by the State Office will also be used for consideration of points		0-5	
a. Good (5) b. Fair (2) c. Poor (0)			
3. Job Creation		Max. 10	
a. Permanent new low/mod jobs created (2 points per job)			
b. Jobs retained (2 point per job)			

4. Direct improvement of existing housing stock (1 point per unit benefited), i.e renovation, sewer or water system, curb/gutter/sidewalks, etc.		Max. 10	
5. Applicant has completed and adopted an Affordable Housing Plan		0-1	
6. Economic Development-providing a favorable climate to maintain existing businesses, & sustaining/creating jobs for LMI persons:		0-4	
a. Improve local capacity for economic development through comprehensive planning and leadership development (Technical committee, CEDS, PTAC, and community development training)	(4)		
b. Improve local tax base through expansion of business opportunities (industrial parks, infrastructure, etc)	(3)		
c. Improve appearance and quality of life within communities (downtown redevelopment)	(2)		
7. Extent of Poverty (Percent of persons to benefit who meet HUD low income criteria)		4-10	
a. 51-63% (4) b. 64-75% (6) c. 76-88% (8) d. 89-100% (10)			
8. Financial Commitment to Community Development (Tax rate as % of maximum allowed)		2-5	
a. 20-50% (2) b. 51-74% (3) c. 75-89% (4) d. 90% (5)			

9. 1	Matching Contributions (% of project cost-including local match)		0-6	
	a. 0-9% (0) b. 10-24% (2) c. 25-49% (4) d. 50-75% (6)			
10.	Prior year funding consideration	-	-5- 0	
	a. Funded last yearb. Funded two years agoc. Funded three or more years ago or ne	(-5) (-2) ver (0)		
11.	Critical Timing	(0-10	
	a. Immediate heath and safety threat an loss of funding at 50%-100%	d/or (10)		
	b. Imminent but not serious threat and/o loss of funding at 30%-50%	or (5)		
	c. Other hardships, i.e., loss of opportun	nity (3)		
	(Attach explanation on separate sheet)			
12.	Other Sources (Applied to other sources, i.e, CIB, Div. Water Resources, Div. of Env., Health, State Outdoor Recreation Div., FmHA. Proof of rejection or acceptance from agency is required).		0-5	
	a. Single Contact (1) b. Two Contacts (2) c. Three Contacts (3) d. Four Contacts (4) e. Five or more Contacts (5)			